



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1930	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice OCR- Add Payment Address field to OCR.	<p>Upon testing Invoice OCR, it was found that the payment address field is not on the OCR page.</p> <p>CGI Research Found: The payment address field was missing within the OCR page and was not being captured although they display on the pdf invoice.</p> <p>Business Impact: Missing fields that are not captured will lead to missing information when invoice is created from OCR.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Add payment address field to OCR page.</p>
1961	Buyers	P2P	Create blocking alert to prevent over receiving on the PO	<p>COVA created ticket to create a blocking alert to prevent receiving over the amount remaining to be received on a PO.</p> <p>CGI Research found that there is no blocking alert when the user tries to receive over the amount remaining to be received on a PO.</p> <p>Business Impact: Users can over receive the amounts remaining on POs to receive. Trying to undo the over receiving by creating returns can create confusion and result in further complicating the receiving on a PO.</p> <p>Policy has agreed that there is no business situation for a user to over receive goods or services. If the user is getting more goods or services than on the initial PO, the user should create a change order for the additional amount, which they could then receive on.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Fire a blocking alert on 'save', 'save &amp; close', and 'submit' actions on the single receipt page of the receipt when the the amount is larger than the ordered or amount left to be received on the PO. The alert should say. 'The receiving amount is greater than the amount that can be received on'.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1980	Invoice	Invoice	Prevent Supplier from creating Invoice when Invoicing for the Organization is set to No (Items dropdown)	<p>Issue: COVA reported that a supplier created an invoice that is attached to a PO despite the organization who created the PO being turned off for Invoicing.</p> <p>Business/Policy impact: Suppliers should not be able to create invoices attached to a PO unless the organization that create the PO is turned on for Invoicing. This could become a Prompt Pay issue. A supplier could create an invoice in eVA that they believe is being sent to an agency, thus starting the 30 day prompt pay period. The agency would not receive the invoice because they are not turned on for Invoicing in eVA.</p> <p>CGI research: Recreated a scenario where a supplier user can create an invoice against a PO for an Orga with Invoice set to No by using the Add PR/Order Items option in the Items area. Refer to Testing Information - Steps to recreate for specific details. Example in UAT = INV000706</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Apply filter created by Key 2018 on Add PR/Order Items page to only show order lines where the order is created from a organization that has invoice turned on.</p> <p>Option would be to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Require an Order to be selected before enabling the 'Add Lines' options.</li> <li>* And then filter the selections available in the Add Lines dropdown based on the Order selected.</li> <li>** If the order is associated with an Entity with Invoicing set to No, only display the 'Add Non-PO Line' option.</li> <li>** If the order is associated with an Entity with Invoicing set to Yes, display the additional options and allow user to select lines (based on the Order populated in the Order field).</li> <li>** Note - With the changes implemented in [ filtering was removed from the Order dropdown.</li> </ul>
1997	Buyers	Contracting	Unable to create Requisitions from contract price list items (Contract - Other Actions)	<p>COVA reported DGS has the attached list of contracts that were updated for the renewals to be active October 1. They are now not able to place orders off of the version that should still be active for two more weeks using the Create Requisition option from within the Contract - Action menu - the contract items do not populate for selection in the Contract's item selection popup.</p> <p>CGI Research found that: When a contract is amended by 'renewal' and the amended contract is effective in the future, the items for the current running version of the contract are not available for selection with trying to create the requisition from the current running version of the contract. As stated previously, the contract items are not populating the Contract's item selection popup.</p> <p>Business Impact: End users cannot shop from the current running contract price list tab to create requisitions for the contract items when the contract is amended as a 'renewal' and the effective date is in the future (using the Actions - Create Requisition option from within the Contract).</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Allow previous version of the contract items to be added to cart until the new contract is available when a renewal is done to a contract.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2003	Sourcing	Sourcing	Add Publish date for Notice Only postings in EAI	<p>COVA reported that Sole source VBO postings across the enterprise do not appear to be sorting properly on the VBO public posting list. The most recent posting, which COVA expects to be at the top of the list, are dropping to the bottom/end of the posting list. Per discussion in Triage meeting entering a ticket for research and resolution.</p> <p>Business impact: The most recent post is not at the top of the list and is very difficult for end users in the public posting to determine recent postings.</p> <p>CGI research found that for Sole Sourcing and Emergency postings, the publish date is not populated for these RFx Types. The sort uses Publish Date as the sort criteria and since this date is blank for Sole Source and Emergency postings, they fall to the bottom of the list because the sort criteria is blank.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Populate last modified date as publish date for Notice Only postings when writing to MQ for SOLR. This will allow Notice Only postings (Sole Source and Emergency types) to be included in the sort. Since close date is not required for Sole Source posting, last modified date will be used because it will always be populated.</p>
2018	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice: Add filtering on orders dropdown to only show PO's from invoice enabled organization	<p>When creating a Non-PO invoice as either a Buyer or Supplier, the orders dropdown on the invoice header page should only show orders from organizations that have invoice enabled.</p> <p>CGI Research found that when Non-PO invoices are created as either the Buyer or Supplier, the orders dropdown on invoice header page does not filter to only show orders where the organization has invoice enabled.</p> <p>Business Impact: Non-PO invoices can be created for organizations that does not have invoice enabled.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Add a SQL filter to only show orders created from organization who have invoice enabled on orders dropdown on invoice header page.</p>
2022	Data Warehouse / Vendor Portal	Data Warehouse / Vendor Portal	Not all SWaM tags are displayed for Supplier	<p>COVA reported that for Supplier Divine Lunches VS0000211963, not all of their SWaM tags are displayed on their Supplier card. Only "Small Business" is showing, but the supplier also has Micro and Women, which are seen when you click Actions, More Info.</p> <p>Business impact: Policy impact - Inaccurate information is being displayed about a Micro supplier which may impact this supplier from being contacted by a buyer for a quote. Business need - It's essential that all SWaM tags for a Supplier display properly on the Supplier card.</p> <p>CGI research found that when SWAM types are added to a supplier at different times, the incremental load did not process all of the SWAM updates and the supplier SWAM was not updated on the supplier card.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Correct the supplier (card) incremental load process that loads the Vendor Portal supplier search data to process all of the SWAM updates across different times to ensure all current supplier SWAM types are picked up and displayed on the Supplier card.</p>
2027	Sourcing	Sourcing	Add Publish date for existing postings in SOLR	<p>This ticket is the data fix companion to Key 2003.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Data fix to populate RFx last modified date as the Publish Date for existing postings.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2028	User Administration	User Administration	Remove Expenditure Limit Approver & Detail information when Expenditure Limit Approval is removed	<p>COVA reported an issue with the Browse User page. When removing the Expenditure Limit Approver, the information in the related Expenditure Limit Approver and Expenditure Limit Amount fields is not getting removed.</p> <p>Business impact: Not removing the information in the drilldown fields causes bad data to be saved for the user.</p> <p>CGI Research: Issue is recreated - refer to the Testing Info - Steps to Recreate for detail on recreating this issue.</p> <p>Change needed: When the Expenditure Limit Approval checkbox is unchecked, the information in the two conditionally enabled required fields needs to be removed. when saving the user update upon save, or save and close. The two conditionally enabled required fields are: Expenditure Limit Approver, Expenditure Limit Threshold.</p>
2037	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Updates for Invoice workflow data (FACT_WORKFLOW_HIST) (ETL)	<p>Update the ETL for Invoice workflow to store the invoice values in FACT_WORKFLOW_HIST table. Populate these fields with appropriate value:</p> <p>DOC_TYPE: 'INV'</p> <p>DOCNUMBER: Invoice number</p>
2040	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice: Assignment/Business OK to Pay step email notifications need to be updated	<p>Issues Reported</p> <p># The notification that gets sent out at Assignment/Business OK to Pay steps need to be updated as some key information is missing on the notification that is getting sent out. Either update to add those information or simplify the notification.</p> <p># Add a new step in 'AP OK to Voucher' step to send notification to the Accounts Payable team when it reaches this step. Currently the notification is not getting sent out to Accounts Payable team at this step.</p> <p>CGI Research found</p> <p># The notification that gets sent out at Assignment/Business OK to Pay steps are not clear/missing information so notification should be updated to allow users to understand what the notification is for.</p> <p># Notification is not getting sent out to Accounts Payable team when the invoice reaches 'AP OK to Voucher' step on the invoice workflow.</p> <p>Business Impact:</p> <p># Missing information on the notification can confuse end users on why they received the notification.</p> <p># Notifications needs to be sent to remind the Accounts Payable team that they have pending validations assigned to them.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <p># Changes done in WFL - New approval requested (invoice) notification,</p> <p>## Removed : and added . after "Please note that you have a new validation to do" sentence.</p> <p>## Workflow and its name removed.</p> <p>## Supplier, Amount, Invoice date, Due date, Payment mode fields removed.</p> <p># Add a similar notification to 'Business OK to Pay' step for 'AP OK to Voucher' step and add Account Payment (Team) as the User Identification rules. Remove the Notification to be sent value on the Account Payment (Team) 'set as the main performer' step.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2041	Accounts Payable	Invoice	Invoice: Update Payment Term with a Default value of Net 30	<p><b>*Original Ivalua Behavior*</b> - In the original out-of-the-box (OTB) Ivalua setup, certain fields are required, and the system automatically calculates the Due Date based on the Payment Terms (if those terms are entered).</p> <p><b>*Initial Design Rationale*</b> -The original design intent was that eVA should not manage or store Payment Terms on invoices, since those terms are not maintained anywhere else in eVA—neither on Vendor Profiles, Purchase Orders (POs), nor Contracts (as metadata).</p> <p>At that time, payment term information only existed within Contract files and General Terms and Conditions as attachments, not as structured metadata in the system.</p> <p>As a result, the Payment Terms field was intentionally hidden.</p> <p><b>*Evolving Design Considerations*</b> - Later, we were asked to enhance the value provided to Accounts Payable (AP), particularly by improving visibility into invoices that might be at risk of becoming overdue.</p> <p>To support this, we began leveraging the OTB “Due Soon Invoices” screen, which highlights invoices due within the next 15 days (calculated based on a populated Due Date).</p> <p><b>*Current Situation*</b> - At present, Payment Term and Due Date are not required fields.</p> <p>As a result, a significant number of invoices lack due date information, making them untraceable in terms of payment timeliness or potential overdue status.</p> <p><b>*The Adjustment*</b> -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Change the existing description (label) on payment term (1) from “30 Days from Invoice Date” to “Net 30” on t_ord_payment_term table</li> <li>* Update the invoice header to default the payment term to teh “Net 30” choice.</li> </ul> <p>By doing so the system will then calculate the due date as 30 days from the Invoice Date field. End users can then adjust and change either the payment term or due date as needed.</p>
2042	Buyers	P2P	Follow-up: Market Price- Update ETL to support Market Price for Catalog & Punchout items	<p>This follow-up ticket is created to track the issues after enhancement was done by Key 1942.</p> <p>CGI Research found while testing Key 1857 that when creating a punchout order, when returned from cart to eVA, Blocking errors 'An unexpected error has occurred during transformation "Smart copy" for code(s) "t_oitem_seq, t_oitem_quantity, t2, t_market_price". fires.</p> <p>Business Impact: Punchout orders are receiving a market price error when returning to eVA from Supplier site.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Fix the issue to correct the punchout ETL.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2044	User Administration	User Administration	Disable users that have never logged in	<p>Issue is: COVA reported that users that had not ever logged in were not being updated to disabled with the job that disables users without active login after 90 days.</p> <p>Business impact: This affects the security standards.</p> <p>CGI research: It has been observed that there are many records in the database with Contact data having both Created &amp; Modified Dates set to NULL. The existing filter in the job looks at the Last login session date, if that is not present it falls back on the Contact's Modified or Created date to calculate 90 days non active status.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: The query filter should consider login created date if none of the other dates are available for a given user.</p> <p>AND DATEADD(day, -90, getUTCdate()) &gt; COALESCE(last_session, c.modified, c.created, l.login_created)</p>
2046	Buyers	Sourcing	Fix for Key 1861 showing on supplier side	<p>Fix for Key 1861 showing on supplier side</p> <p>*Background info*: As reported, the Received Response Date/Time field is missing from the Proposal Information placeholder on the Paper Response page.</p> <p>*CGI research*: Testing confirmed that the Response Received Date &amp; Time fields are no longer visible when a buyer enters a paper response through the RFx activity tab.</p> <p>*Business impact*: With this field removed, buyers no longer have the ability to enter the date/time for a paper response. This causes data integrity issues because the fields needed are not available preventing buyers from adding the information required for paper responses.</p> <p>*Changes to be implemented*: Re-enable the Response Received Date &amp; Time and Status fields in the "Proposal Information" placeholder on the buyer-side (internal) Paper Response page. These fields should be visible, enabled and required for the buyer-side (internal) paper response only. These fields should not be visible in the online supplier-side response.*</p> <p>*NOTE: As [https://cgieva.atlassian.net/browse/EBUG-1601 https://cgieva.atlassian.net/browse/EBUG-1601 smart-link], an update was made to the online response to remove these fields when accessing the response from the History tab. When validating this (EBUG 1861) change, we need to revalidate EBUG 1601 to ensure the online response does not include these fields.</p>
2049	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Updates for Invoice workflow data (FACT_WORKFLOW_HIST) (EAI)	Update the ETL for Invoice workflow to store the Invoice ID in FACT_WORKFLOW_HIST table.



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2052	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice: Require Rejection reason when rejecting Invoice when Invoices are in the Assignment/Non-PO Assignment workflow step	<p>COVA created because when invoices are rejected at 'Assignment'/'Non-PO Assignment' step, there is no pop-up box to enter the reason for the rejection.</p> <p>CGI Research found that when invoices are rejected at 'Assignment'/'Non-PO Assignment' step, there is no pop-up box where the end user can add a required comment on why the invoice is being rejected at 'Assignment' step. Rejection pop-up box should be added at 'Assignment' step of the workflow and make this required.</p> <p>Business Impact: Reason for denial should be able to be entered so it is included in the email sent to the supplier. This also puts the reason in the workflow where users will be trained to look for the denial reason. Users on the buy side may not know about or remember to use the Supplier Messaging feature. The Denial process should work the same no matter which step in the workflow you are in for consistent user experience.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Add a required rejection comment pop-up box at 'Assignment'/'Non-PO Assignment' step of the invoice workflow.</p> <p>Regression: Make sure the required rejection comment pop-up box is shown when rejection action is taken no matter what step in the workflow you are in to provide consistent user experience.</p>
2054	Accounts Payable	Invoice	INV Dashboard: Filter out Paid/Denied invoices from Invoices Due in Next 15 Days widget	<p>On the Invoicing Dashboard, on the Invoices Due in Next 15 Days widget, please filter out Invoices in Paid, Denied, Draft, Cancelled, Deleted, Non-Compliant, Paid by Pcard, Payment Error, Payment Processing, Rejected statuses. Essentially, only Invoices with a status that indicates that an action still needs to be taken by a user should appear on that widget.</p>
2068	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice: Shipping Number dropdown should filter based on the order selected on order dropdown	<p>While testing invoice, it was found that the shipping number dropdown does not filter based on the order selected on the order dropdown.</p> <p>CGI Research found that the shipping number dropdown on invoice header page does not filter based on the order selected on the order dropdown on the invoice header page. The dropdown is filtered based on the Supplier.</p> <p>Business Impact: Receipts outside the selected order should not display as wrong receipt can be invoiced or people outside the organization of the order has access to the receipts that they should not have access to.</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented: Add filter on the shipping number dropdown on invoice header page for both Buyer and Supplier side to allow the dropdown to filter on both the order and Supplier.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2069	Buyers	Catalog	Search issue in Search Products field - SHOP Screen	<p>COVA reported that when searching for a punchout associated with a contract expiring on the day of the search in the "Search Products" field on the SHOP screen, no results were returned based on the search criteria.</p> <p>CGI Research: When searching for a punchout associated with a contract expected to expire on the day of the search in the "Search Products" field on the SHOP screen, the punchout being searched does not return. More details to be added once Ivalua can provide us with what the issue was/what change was made by them.</p> <p>Business Impact: Contract punchouts need to be available and easily searchable and found.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: To be added once Ivalua tells us what the issue is as they made the change for this issue.</p> <p>Note: We were able to bring up the item/product when using the advanced search by supplier name or by contract# or by commodity.</p>
2070	Supplier Support	Supplier	Restrict ability to update/add Supplier addresses to the Vendor Support Team	<p>Only users with Supplier Support Profile and Administrator Profile (not eVA Administrator Profile) should have the ability to add/update all Supplier Address types : Order, Physical, Billing, Payment Address and add/update/delete Additional Payment Addresses.</p> <p>Note: The Tax Address is updated through Information change requests and it is not impacted.</p> <p>Business Impact: No one other than the Supplier Admin or Supplier Support Team should be able to update a supplier's account.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li># Create a new auth: Edit Supplier Addresses ( code: auth_sup_address_edit ; Access type:Internal user,External user,Administrator)</li> <li># Add the auth to Supplier Support Team Profile &amp; Administrator.</li> <li># Add the auth to the container of the addresses tab in Supplier page.</li> <li># Assign the Supplier Support Team Profile in addition to the profiles they have to the users who should be allowed to manage the supplier addresses.</li> </ul>





## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2074	Accounts Payable	Invoice	Invoice: If the PO Requester is not active, the INV should go to the AP Team at 'Assignment' step/ Remove Account Payment (Team) notification and add the same notification for PO Assignment Approver at Assignment step.	<p>COVA reported because for an organization that has Requester flag activated for the Invoice Assignment workflow step, when the invoice reaches the 'Assignment' step, the performer at that step is the PO Requester. If the PO Requester is no longer active at the time of the invoice reaches the 'Assignment' step, the invoice should be routed to the AP team (users with the "Invoice-Accounts Payable" approval profile) for the organization.</p> <p>CGI Research found</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- For an organization that has Requester flag activated for the Invoice Assignment workflow step, when the invoice reaches the 'Assignment' step, the performer of that step is the PO requester who may be inactive.</li><li>- Remove Account Payment (Team) notification and add the same notification for PO Assignment Approver at Assignment step.</li></ul> <p>Business Impact: We would like the invoices for non-active Requesters to go directly to the AP Department so agencies don't need to manage delegating authority for inactive users. The AP Department can look at the invoice and assign to the proper Business User to ensure the Invoice is processed in with the Prompt Pay deadline.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Update the 'Assignment' step of the invoice workflow to re-route the performer to the AP team (users with the "Invoice-Accounts Payable" approval profile) for the organization if the PO requester is no longer active and the organization has Requester flag activated for the Invoice Assignment workflow step.</li><li>- Remove Account Payment (Team) notification and add the same notification for PO Assignment Approver at Assignment step.</li></ul>
2075	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Invoice not loaded in DW (FACT_INVHDRS) (EAI)	<p>System Admin identified Invoice_ids are not loaded in FACT_INVHDRS and its child tables in DW.</p> <p>missing invoice_id in PROD (165,233,214,227,303,305,306)</p> <p>CGI research: This issue is happening because payterm_code field (Payment Terms) is null on the invoice. IV extract query has inner join which is why these records are getting missed.</p> <p>Business impact: Invoice information is not fully extracted to DW.</p> <p>Change needed: DW - Need to update extract query to allow blank Payment Terms.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2084	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice: Remove (until further notice) the ability to create a credit memo from an invoice	<p>COVA wants to remove the ability to create a credit memo from an invoice.</p> <p>CGI Research found that we need to remove INV- Create a credit (auth_inv_credit_create) authorization for the associated profiles until further notice.</p> <p>Business Impact: This update will avoid the use of credit memo's until further requirements and usage can be defined.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Remove INV- Create a credit (auth_inv_credit_create) authorization for the profiles below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Accounts Payable</li> <li>* Commodity Manager</li> <li>* Data Administrator</li> <li>* eVA Administrator</li> <li>* Requisitioner</li> <li>* Sealed Sourcing</li> <li>* Small Purchase Sourcing</li> <li>* Supplier</li> </ul>
2096	Suppliers	Catalog	ETL: remove required logic for not to exceed field on catalog import ETL	<p>While importing catalogs, it was found that there is an issue where the supplier wants a variable price item on eva but has no Not to Exceed (NTE) price for the items resulting in a blocking error in the etl.</p> <p>CGI Research found that the NTE value is mandatory when variable price is 1, however this is leading to scenarios where NTE is not available on the import file and it blocks progress - the catalog/contract item information cannot be loaded.</p> <p>Business Impact: catalogs should be able to import without the NTE values</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented: modify the eva_pdtitem_light etl to remove the mandatory from the NTE column</p>
2097	Invoice	Invoice	Allow eVA Admins to unlock Invoices	<p>COVA wants to allow eVA Admins to be able to unlock Invoices to provide support if the user (AP team) who locked the invoice is unavailable to unlock it and it needs to be worked by another user who has the ability to unlock invoices. Need to assign this auth, auth_inv_release_manage, to eVA Admin then they can unlock invoices for support.</p> <p>CGI Research found that eVA Administrators cannot unlock invoices that have been locked by another user. Need to assign auth_inv_release_manage authorization to eVA administrator profile.</p> <p>Business Impact: eVA Admins need to be able to unlock Invoices to provide support if the user who locked the invoice is unavailable to unlock it and it needs to be worked by another user. Delays to invoice payment could result in violation of the 30 Day Prompt Pay policy.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Assign auth_inv_release_manage authorization to eVA administrator profile.</p>



## November - December 2025 Release Notes (date of release December 19, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
				<p>When invoice is created as a non-admin user, once the invoice reaches 'OK to Pay' step, the invoice information is no longer available and invoice is blank and cannot be moved forward as blocking alert fires that the required information is missing.</p> <p>CGI Research: To be added once the new build provided by lvalua will fix product issue.</p> <p>Business Impact: The invoice cannot be moved to final status and invoice information is no longer available once the invoice reaches 'Ok to Pay' step when invoice is created as non-admin.</p>
2117	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice: Invoice is blank when it reaches 'OK to Pay' step.	Changes to be implemented: To be added once fix is determined.
2132	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Extract Denied Invoice to DW (FACT_INVHDS) (EAI)	Denied invoices are not extracted to DW. Denied is a finalized status. Modify DW invoice extract process to extract invoices in Denied status.
				<p>Issue- Users are getting a blocking error on the requisition due to not to exceed value being present despite item not being variable priced. CGI research- When an item is being created, Not to Exceed field value is not getting blanked out when 'Is Variable Price' is unchecked after initially having checked and filled.</p> <p>Business Impact-The Not to Exceed blocking error fires in the requisition preventing user from submitting a requisition.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented-Trigger the Not to exceed alert only when 'Is Variable Price' is checked.</p>
2133	Buyers	P2P	Update Not to Exceed Blocking Error to read Variable Price indicator	Add the call back to blank out 'Not to exceed' field when 'Is Variable price' is unchecked during item creation
				<p>COVA eVA Customer Care is reporting that they are starting to receive tickets about Buyers doing a PO and the page seems to be pulling only the Items description field on the order and not the detail description.</p> <p>CGI Research found that the query currently uses COALESCE(oitem.oitem_label, oitem._oitem_long_description) AS 'oitem_desc' to pull the item information where the short description is getting pulled. Because the short description (item label) has a character limit of 192, the information is being truncated.</p> <p>Business Impact: The PO print needs to pull the long description as the short description does not provide enough information of the item.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Change the query to COALESCE(oitem._oitem_long_description,oitem.oitem_label,) AS 'oitem_desc' to pull the long description and display on the PO print.</p>
2142	Buyers	P2P	PO Print (Word/PDF) - Line item description details cut off	Note: The detailed description (long description) field currently has a limit of 2000 characters and this is not being changed.